

# **Abstract**

## **Title**

Analysis of the endurance indicators in selected tests in relation to continuous and intermittent loading

## **Objectives**

The aim of this study was to examine the accuracy of the prediction formulas for indirect estimation  $VO_{2max}$  from performances in the field tests. The criterion for comparing estimated values were results from laboratory spiroergometry test.

## **Methods**

Ten physically active males ( $24,5 \pm 2,5$  years,  $179,5 \pm 6,2$  cm,  $75,8 \pm 4,9$  kg, BMI  $23,5 \pm 1,3$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>) performed four different test sessions. Laboratory treadmill test was used for the direct measurement of the maximal oxygen consumption ( $VO_{2max}$ ) and three field tests for indirect estimation of the  $VO_{2max}$  (Cooper test, Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test Level 1 and 2). All the performances from field tests were calculated using prediction formulas.

## **Results**

Directly measured values of  $VO_{2max}$  during laboratory testing were in average  $58,24 \pm 2,77$  ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>. Indirectly estimated values of  $VO_{2max}$  from performances in the Cooper test were in average  $61,15 \pm 3,73$  ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>, in Yo-Yo IRT1  $52,46 \pm 2,51$  ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup> and in Yo-Yo IRT2  $53,19 \pm 1,56$  ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>.min<sup>-1</sup>. There was found large positive correlation between laboratory testing and Cooper test ( $r = 0,76$ ). This correlation was the only one statistically significant. The correlations between laboratory testing and Yo-Yo IRT1 ( $r = 0,54$ ) and Yo-Yo IRT2 ( $r = 0,41$ ) were not statistically significant.

## **Keywords**

aerobic endurance,  $VO_{2max}$ , maximal oxygen uptake, Yo-Yo Intermittent Recovery Test, Cooper test, prediction